PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference H 05440 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION		n of Transmittal of International mination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/EP2003/006498	International filing date (day/r 20 June 2003 (20.06		iority date (day/month/year) 27 June 2002 (27.06.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or no C11D 3/48, 1/94, C11D 1/72, 1/						
Applicant	ECOLAB INC	•				
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of						
VIII Certain observation	ns on the international application	on				
Date of submission of the demand	Date	Date of completion of this report				
21 January 2004 (21.0	1.2004)	24 Septe	ember 2004 (24.09.2004)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EI	P Auth	orized officer				
Facsimile No.	Tele	hone No.				

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/006498

L Bas	is of t	the rep	port					
1. Wi	th reg	gard to	the elements of the international application:*					
X	th	e inter	national application as originally filed					
区	th	e desc	ription:					
	-		1-9	, as originally filed				
	pa	0000		, filed with the demand				
	pa	ages	, filed with the letter of					
∇	dt fb	ne clair	ms:					
	_3	ages	1-13	, as originally filed				
	_	ages	, as amended (together with	any statement under Article 19				
	• -	ages		, filed with the demand				
	_	ages	, filed with the letter of					
	7 ₊₁	he drav	winger					
<u> </u>		ne drav	willgo.	, as originally filed				
	_	pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
 	_		1: 4:					
L		_	ence listing part of the description:	, as originally filed				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
1		pages	, filed with the letter of	, med with the domaid				
		pages		the with in the lenguage in which				
tl	he inte	ernatio	to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Au mal application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
Ţ	hese o	elemer	nts were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language	which is:				
			nguage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23	3.1(b)).				
			nguage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the law or 55.	nguage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary exammed.	mination (under Rule 55.2 and/				
3.	With prelim	regard ninary (I to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	l application, the international				
		contained in the international application in written form.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
			statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go national application as filed has been furnished.	beyond the disclosure in the				
			statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to furnished.	the written sequence listing has				
4.		The a	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
			the description, pages					
			the claims, Nos.					
			the drawings, sheets/fig					
5.		This i	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since nd the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	they have been considered to go				
*	in th	acemen is repe 70.17).	nt sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not c	n under Article 14 are referred to contain amendments (Rule 70.16				
**			ement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed	to this report.				
				·				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/06498

Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporting		inventive step or industrial appl	icability;
Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-13	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
•	Claims	1-13	NO NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

1. The following documents, already cited in the written opinion of 13 April 2004, have been taken into consideration:

D1: US-A-5 856 290 (VAN BUSKIRK ET AL)

5 January 1999 (1999-01-05)

D2: DE 196 15 286 A (HENKEL KGAA)

23 October 1997 (1997-10-23).

- 2. Interpretation of the claims
- 2.1 The aqueous disinfectant of the present claim 1 contains:
 - 0.1 to 10 wt.% of a surfactant system comprising nonionic and amphoteric surfactants,

an antimicrobial active ingredient with amino groups; and

- a further antimicrobial active ingredient.
- 2.2 Surfactants have a general tendency to produce foam, but the applicant does not in any way restrict the application to surfactant systems in which foaming

/...

is actually caused by contact with amines. It can be seen from the examples that only one surfactant system, comprising fatty alcohol ethoxylate, alkyl polyglycoside and betaine (see tables 1 and 4 on pages 7 and 9) could give rise to this effect.

- Moreover, the application is in no way restricted to 2.3 components of a disinfectant that have synergistic activity. There is no evidence of any synergistic interaction between a antimicrobial active ingredient with amino groups in combination with each further antimicrobial active ingredient. According to table 2 on page 8, both mixture E1 (with ethanol and 2-propanol) and mixture 2 (with dimethyl-alkyl-(C12-C14)-benzyl-ammonium-chlorides, glucoprotamine, ethanol and 2-propanol) show an excellent antimicrobial effect. Although mixture E2 has a greater antimicrobial effect than mixture E1, the above improvement cannot be ascribed, on the basis of said comparison, to the use of glucoprotamine with dimethyl-alkyl-(C12-C14)-benzylammonium-chlorides, ethanol or 2-propanol.
- It is evident from paragraphs 2.2 and 2.3 above that the assertions relating to foam produced by an interaction between a surfactant system and an amine and to synergistic interaction between the antimicrobial active ingredient with amino groups and the further antimicrobial active ingredient merely represent desired objectives of the applicant, and do not in any way restrict the claims.

/ . . .

- 3. Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))
- D1 describes the use of mixtures of fatty alcohol 3.1 ethoxylates and alkyl polyglycosides in amounts of 0.2 to 10 wt.% and 0.1 to 10 wt.%, respectively, to increase the antimicrobial effect of disinfectants (column 1, line 60 to column 2, line 20 and column 4, lines 34-39). Alkyl amines of the present formulae I and II, reaction products of a diamine of the present formula I with glutamic acid or glutamic acid derivatives of the present formula III, and quaternary ammonium compounds are provided as antimicrobial active ingredients (column 3, lines 19-67). The compositions can also contain amphoteric surfactants in amounts of up to 10 wt.% and low molecular alcohols of the present formula IV (column 5, lines 26-38 and lines 49-60; table 3, sample 7).
- 3.2 D2 concerns the use of esterquats for increasing the storage life and antimicrobial effect of concentrated disinfectants based on amidation products of N-substituted propylene diamines with 2-amino-glutaric acid esters. The concentrates additionally contain 0 to 10 wt.% of further surfactants (page 4, line 35), being preferably mixtures of fatty alcohol ethoxylates, alkyl polyglycosides and amphoteric surfactants such as betaines (page 4, lines 15-19).
- 3.3 The compositions of D1 and D2 would appear to produce foam as effectively as those according to

/ . . .

the present claims. Since the applicant has drafted the present claims in a very broad manner, the amount of each component used for producing foam would appear to be of very little relevance. Therefore, the overlap of the claimed disinfectant and the aqueous disinfectants known from D1 and D2 appears to be too great for it to be possible to speak in terms of completely different compositions.

- 3.4 D1 and D2 would thus appear to be prejudicial to the novelty of claims 1 to 13.
- 4. Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))
- 4.1 It is pointless at present to establish a detailed opinion in respect of inventive step.
- 4.2 The present application would appear to concern aqueous disinfectants based on aminic biocides, which disinfectants can produce foam and, with a low proportion of aminic biocides, have an adequate antimicrobial effect (see the present pages 1 and 2). This problem is solved by combining specific surfactant systems, which can produce foam in the presence of amines, with a synergistic disinfectant component that consists of a specific antimicrobial active ingredient with amino groups and a further specific antimicrobial active ingredient.
- 4.3 Both D1 and D2 could be considered the closest prior art. Both documents disclose aqueous disinfectants based on aminic biocides, which disinfectants contain all the constituents of the present compositions.

- It is pointed out that, in most states and regions, strict rules are applied to the acknowledgement of an inventive step on the basis of a synergy.
- 4.5 In this regard, it is pointed out that the present examples do not constitute a comparison with the compositions described in D1 and D2. Firstly, compositions E1, E2 and E3 contain fatty alcohol ethoxylate, alkyl polyglycoside and betaine, whereas composition V1 contains no surfactant, composition V2 contains only alkyl polyglycoside, composition V3 contains only betaine and composition V4 contains only fatty alcohol ethoxylate. Secondly, test solution E1 contains no antimicrobial active ingredient with amino groups.

Miscellaneous

- The present description does not acknowledge D1 and D2 as the closest prior art (PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii)).
- For the sake of completeness, it is pointed out that the reference to test solution E4 in the text on page 7 would appear to be erroneous.